

WHOLE PERSON CARE

April 28, 2016 Webinar

















OVERVIEW

- 1) Current State of WPC
- 2) Service Bundles/Q&A
- 3) Draft Request for Application and Application Evaluation Process/Discussion



CURRENT STATE OF WPC

CURRENT STATE OF WPC

Attachments

Application

State Legislation (AB 1568/SB 815)

WPC TIMELINE

Deliverable/Activity	Date
DHCS releases draft WPC pilot Request for Applications (RFA) and	April 11, 2016
selection criteria for public comment	
Public comments on WPC pilot application and selection criteria due to	April 18, 2016
DHCS	
CMS approves the WPC pilot application	May 6, 2016
DHCS releases WPC pilot RFA, timeline, and selection criteria	May 16, 2016
DHCS conducts webinar for potential applicants/interested entities	May 19, 2016
WPC pilot applications due to DHCS	July 1, 2016
DHCS completes WPC application review; sends written questions to	September 1, 2016
applicants	
Applicants' written responses due to DHCS	September 8, 2016
DHCS notifies CMS of WPC pilot selection decisions	October 7, 2016
DHCS notifies applicants of WPC pilot selection final decisions	October 24, 2016
Lead entities provide formal acceptance to DHCS	November 3, 2016



SERVICE BUNDLES

SERVICE BUNDLES

PMPM/bundled payments for specific populations, e.g.:

- Foster/Transitional-Aged Youth
- Homeless
- Diversion/Re-entry
- Rising Risk

May be used in conjunction with non-PMPM/non-bundled payments

Annual value determined prospectively, with flexibility to repurpose funds within the year via the PDSA cycle

SERVICE BUNDLES

Annual value based on:

- Value of each of the services offered in the bundle (loosely tied to cost; supporting documentation must be included)
- Estimated number of people served by the bundle
- Average number of months they are expected to receive the bundle
- Estimated utilization of the services within the bundle

Savings available as a result of PMPM/bundled payments may be directed to the housing pool. How "savings" is defined is yet to be determined.



SERVICE BUNDLES – Q&A



DRAFT REQUEST FOR APPLICATION

DRAFT RFA & APPLICATION EVALUATION PROCESS

- High level takeaways
- Goes beyond STCs
- Flexibility in program and budget design
- Payments are tied to deliverables, not cost-based
- The application is substantial start planning now

RFA COMMENTS SUBMITTED TO DHCS

Joint comment letter

- 1) Describe the anticipated "new pilot requirements" (Page 6, Section 2.2, Application)
- 2) Clarify how pilot goals will be used so county teams can propose goals that are realistic and relevant (Universal Metrics, page 9, Section 4.1a, Application)
- 3) Align the description of the budget with language in the STCs regarding pre-set payment amounts and deliverables (Page 12, Section 5.5, Application)
- 4) Award full points to county teams that provide a compelling reason for requesting an exemption to include required participating entities (Page 2, Section B.1.2, Evaluation)
- 5) Increase the point values associated with the care coordination and data sharing sections of the application (Page 3, Section 3, Evaluation)

DISCUSSION

Other issues with the draft application or application evaluation process?

Reactions?

Comments?

Questions?

WEBINAR CONTACTS

Cathy Senderling-McDonald, CWDA Michelle Cabrera, SEIU California Jackie Bender, CAPH Michelle Gibbons, CHEAC Caroline Davis, LHPC Kirsten Barlow, CBHDA Sharon Rapport, CSH Kelly Brooks-Lindsey

csend@cwda.org mcabrera@seiucal.org jbender@caph.org mgibbons@cheac.org cdavis@lhpc.org kbarlow@cbhda.org sharon.rapport@csh.org kbl@hbeadvocacy.com